



From: "Colin Rosenstiel" <colin.rosenstiel@cambridge.gov.uk>
To: <Tony.Collins@cambridge.gov.uk>
CC: <colin.rosenstiel@cambridge.gov.uk>
Date: 15/02/2010 13:48
Subject: Re: Weekly List 10/0096/FUL

I now see we have 10/0096/FUL for this site, reduced by one flat it would appear. Another point that remains unconfirmed from the past is that this includes the whole site, include both existing ground floor shops. If so this site is larger than that of 46 Burleigh St. A shop and 7 flats should then fit in with less bulk than on that site.

My concerns expressed earlier still appear to apply:

- > The adjacent development at 46 Burleigh Street, the other side of Adam
- > and Eve Street, only has 6 flats in conjunction with ground floor
- > retail and is not a small development. Anything larger than that would
- > be out of scale for this end of Burleigh Street. Arguably even at that
- > size it would be overdevelopment as all the nearby buildings are less
- > tall. 46 Burleigh Street also has direct access for delivery from
- > Paradise Street that this site does not have. Deliveries by other than
- > a very small van will block Adam and Eve Street.
- >
- > If redevelopment proceeds, can we please ensure that a planning
- > condition is applied to ensure that the existing or new street
- > lights are affixed to the new building to replace those attached to
- > the existing structure? The County Council seem to be utterly useless
- > at asking for this condition where development is proposed to
- > buildings to which street lights are attached.

I will be particularly miffed if we fail to ensure the continuation of the two existing street lights attached to the existing building (or replacements to match the style of the current Burleigh St design - there is a wall-mounted example, by the side of the Next store).

Colin Rosenstiel